

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

20 JULY 2009

PMB GROUP

IMPROVEMENT PLAN EXCEPTION REPORT [MAY 2009]

Responsible Portfolio Holder	Cllr. Roger Hollingworth, Portfolio Holder for the Improvement Plan
Responsible Officer	Hugh Bennett Assistant Chief Executive

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 To ask PMB to consider the Improvement Plan Exception Report for May 2009 (Appendix 1).

2. RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 That PMB considers and approves the revisions to the Improvement Plan Exception Report attached as Appendix 1, and the corrective action being taken.
- 2.2 That PMB notes that for the 78 actions highlighted for May within the plan 76.9% of the Improvement Plan is on target [green], 3.85% is one month behind [amber] and 3.85% is over one month behind [red]. 15.4% of actions have been reprogrammed or suspended with approval¹, these include the town centre actions (as a result of Parkside & Network Rail), the core strategy and workforce planning.
- 2.3 This month's performance is shown on the first page of Appendix 1.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 July 2008 Cabinet approved the Improvement Plan 2008/09. The Improvement Plan is directly linked to the five corporate priorities and thirteen enablers identified in the Council Plan 2008/2011.
- 3.2 The Improvement Plan was designed to push the Council through to a rating of Fair during 2008.
- 3.3 There were 3 amber and 3 red activities this month for the following areas of the Improvement Plan:-

¹ NB reprogrammed actions are those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. Extended actions are listed separately are actions that are anticipated to take longer than had originally been programmed

Ref.	Council Plan Balanced Scorecard Reference	Number
CP1	Town Centre	3
CP4	Sense of Community	2
FP3	Financial Strategy	1
PR5	Planning	1

3.4 The re-programmed actions i.e. those that have been suspended or moved to a much later date in the Plan are:-

	Ref.	Action	Reason
1	1.2.2	Market redevelopment Hall	Suspended. Credit Crunch
2	1.4.2, 1.4.3, 1.4.4, 1.4.5, 1.4.6, 1.4.7, 1.4.8	Market Hall negotiations.	Suspended. Credit Crunch.
3	2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5	Affordable housing SPD.	Suspended. RSS2.
4	3.3.1	Service data monitoring	Suspended. Expected to be introduced Spring 2009
5	4.1.5, 4.1.13	Consultation for LSP neighbourhoods.	Re-programmed. Different approach agreed for 2009/2010.
6	4.3.11, 4.3.12, 4.3.14, 4.3.15, 4.3.17	Review of promotional materials / Artrix	Suspended. Budget constraints / SLA delays
7	14.3.5, 14.3.6, 14.4.2, 14.4.5, 16.1.9, 16.3.6, 18.2.3	Submission Core Strategy	Reprogrammed. Delays with RSS review.
8	15.2.4	Review and consult on the "Bromsgrove Way".	Suspended. Existing approach acceptable. Other HR&OD priorities.
9	15.3.1, 15.3.2, 15.3.3	"Bromsgrove Way" and competency framework for non-managers.	See above.
10	16.1.5, 16.1.9	Gap analysis	Suspended. Pending shared service business case.
11	16.3.3	Review of harassment and bullying policy	Suspended. Pending JE implementation
12	16.4.5	Parental rights guide	Re-programmed. Pending JE implementation
13	18.1.2, 18.1.3, 18.1.4	PDR process review.	Suspended. Pending JE implementation
14	18.3.1, 18.3.2, 18.3.3, 18.3.4, 18.3.5	Core competencies for all staff.	Suspended. Pending JE implementation

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 No financial implications.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 No legal implications.

6. **COUNCIL OBJECTIVES**

6.1 The Improvement Plan relates to all of the Council's four objectives and five priorities as per the 2008/2011 Council Plan.

7. **RISK MANAGEMENT**

7.1.1 The risks associated with the Improvement Plan are covered in the CCPP departmental risk register. Specific corporate risks are related to the Improvement Plan in the following ways:

Corporate Risk Title	Improvement Plan Reference
KO1: Effective Financial Management and Internal Control	FP2 – Financial Management FP3 – Financial Strategy
KO2: Effective corporate leadership	FP1 – Value for Money FP2 – Financial Management FP3 – Financial Strategy FP4 – Financial and Performance Reporting PR2 – Improved Governance
KO3: Effective Member / Officer relations	PR2 – Improved Governance HROD1 – Learning and Development
KO4: Effective Member / Member relations	PR2 – Improved Governance HROD1 – Learning and Development
KO5*: Full compliance with the Civil Contingencies Act and effective Business Continuity	PR1 – Customer Process
KO6: Maximising the benefits of investment in ICT equipment and training	PR3 – Spatial Business Project
KO7: Effective partnership working	PR4 – Improved Partnership Working
KO8: Effective communications (internal and external)	PR1 – Customer Process FP4 – Financial and Performance Reporting HROD 4– Performance Culture
KO9: Equalities and diversity agenda embedded across the Authority	CP3 – Customer Service CP4 – Sense of Community
KO10: Appropriate investment in employee development and training	HROD1 – Learning and Development HROD2 – Modernisation HROD4 – Performance Culture
KO11: Effective employee recruitment and retention	HROD2 – Modernisation
KO12: Full compliance with all Health and Safety legislation	FP3 – Financial Strategy PR1 – Customer Process HROD2 – Modernisation

KO13: Effective two tier working and Community Engagement	CP4 – Sense of Community PR4 – Improved Partnership Working
KO14: Successful implementation of Job Evaluation	HROD2 - Modernisation
KO15: All Council data is accurate and of high quality	FP2 – Financial Management FP4 – Financial and Performance Reporting PR3 – Spatial Business Project HROD4 – Performance culture
KO16: The Council no longer in recovery	FP1 – Value for Money FP4 – Financial and Performance Reporting
KO17: Effective Projects Management	FP1 – Value for Money PR3 – Spatial Business Project
KO19: Effective Business and Performance Management	FP4 – Financial and Performance Reporting
KO20: Effective Customer Focused Authority	CP3 – Customer Service CP4 – Sense of Community PR1 – Customer Process

* KO5 and KO18 have been merged

8. **CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS**

8.1 The Improvement Plan is concerned with the strategic and operational issues that will affect the customer.

9. **EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS**

9.1 Please see sections CP3 and CP4 of the Improvement Plan

10. **VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS**

10.1 See section FP1 of the Improvement Plan

11. **OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

Procurement Issues: See Section FP1 of the Improvement Plan.
Personnel Implications: See Sections HROD1-HROD4 of the Improvement Plan.
Governance/Performance Management: See Sections FP4 and PR2 of the Improvement Plan.
Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime and Disorder Act 1998: See section CP4 of the Improvement Plan
Policy: All sections of the Improvement Plan relate to this.
Environmental: See sections CP1 and PR5 of the Improvement Plan.

12. **OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT**

Portfolio Holder	No
Chief Executive	At CMT
Executive Director (Partnerships and Projects)	At CMT
Executive Director (Services)	At CMT
Assistant Chief Executive	Yes
Head of Service	At CMT
Head of Financial Services	At CMT
Head of Legal & Democratic Services	At CMT
Head of Organisational Development & HR	At CMT
Corporate Procurement Team	No

13. WARDS AFFECTED

13.1 All wards

14. APPENDICES

14.1 Appendix 1 Improvement Plan Exception Report May 2009

15. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

15.1 The full Improvement Plan for May can be found at www.bromsgrove.gov.uk under meetings Minutes and Agendas. A hard copy is also left in the Members' Room each month.

CONTACT OFFICER

Name: Rebecca Dunne
E Mail: r.dunne@bromsgrove.gov.uk
Tel: (01527) 881616

Exception Report for May 2009 Improvement Plan

Appendix 1

PROGRESS IN 2008/09

Overall performance as at the end of May 2009, in comparison with the previous year, is as follows: -

July 2007			August 2007			September 2007			October 2007			November 2007			December 2007		
RED	1	0.6%	RED	1	0.7%	RED	4	2.4%	RED	3	1.8%	RED	5	3.1%	RED	3	2.0%
AMBER	5	3.2%	AMBER	13	9.2%	AMBER	11	6.6%	AMBER	16	9.6%	AMBER	11	7.0%	AMBER	17	11.6%
GREEN	152	95.6%	GREEN	126	88.7%	GREEN	149	89.2%	GREEN	142	85.0%	GREEN	138	86.9%	GREEN	121	82.3%
REPRO	1	0.6%	REPRO	2	1.4%	REPRO	3	1.8%	REPRO	6	3.6%	REPRO	5	3.1%	REPRO	6	4.1%

January 2008			February 2008			March 2008			April 2008			May 2008			June 2008		
RED	2	1.4%	RED	2	1.4%	RED	2	1.5%	RED	3	2.7%	RED	8	7.55%	RED	6	6.3%
AMBER	16	11.4%	AMBER	10	7.3%	AMBER	10	7.4%	AMBER	11	9.9%	AMBER	4	3.8%	AMBER	4	4.2%
GREEN	118	84.3%	GREEN	122	88.4%	GREEN	117	86.7%	GREEN	92	82.9%	GREEN	86	81.1%	GREEN	74	77.0%
REPRO	4	2.9%	REPRO	4	2.9%	REPRO	6	4.4%	REPRO	5	4.5%	REPRO	8	7.55%	REPRO	12	12.5%

July 2008			August 2008			September 2008			October 2008			November 2008			December 2008		
RED	11	8.6%	RED	17	14.4%	RED	16	11.9%	RED	15	10.6%	RED	12	8.7%	RED	13	9.9%
AMBER	3	2.3%	AMBER	4	3.4%	AMBER	8	6.0%	AMBER	7	5.0%	AMBER	8	5.8%	AMBER	5	3.9%
GREEN	114	89.1%	GREEN	96	81.4%	GREEN	99	73.9%	GREEN	104	73.8%	GREEN	106	76.8%	GREEN	100	76.3%
REPRO	0	0%	REPRO	1	0.8%	REPRO*	11	8.2%	REPRO	15	10.6%	REPRO	12	8.7%	REPRO	13	9.9%

January 2009			February 2009			March 2009			April 2009			May 2009			June 2009		
RED	0	0%	RED	2	1.5%	RED	3	2.9%	RED	3	3.2%	RED	3	3.85%	RED		
AMBER	4	3.6%	AMBER	3	2.3%	AMBER	5	4.9%	AMBER	5	5.4%	AMBER	3	3.85%	AMBER		
GREEN	95	86.4%	GREEN	112	86.2%	GREEN	80	78.5%	GREEN	71	76.3%	GREEN	60	76.9%	GREEN		
REPRO	11	10.0%	REPRO	13	10.0%	REPRO	14	13.7%	REPRO	14	15.1%	REPRO	12	15.4%	REPRO		

Exception Report for May 2009 Improvement Plan

Appendix 1

Where: -

	On Target or completed		One month behind target or less		Over one month behind target		Original date of planned action		Re-programmed date.*
--	------------------------	--	---------------------------------	--	------------------------------	--	---------------------------------	--	----------------------

* NB. Reprogrammed actions are both those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. They are not actions that have been extended and they do not appear on the exception report.

Out of the total of 78 actions for May 2009, 12 actions have been extended or suspended with approval. This amounts to 15.4% percent of the original actions scheduled for this month. Extended actions are shown with hatched marking and extend the timescale of a current or ongoing action on the Improvement Plan.

An Exception Report detailing corrective actions follows:

CP1: Town Centre																		
Ref	May 2009 Action	Colour	Corrective Action													Who	Original Date	Revised Date
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Corrective Action			
1.7.1	Network Rail to agree business case and funding for station.		The funding gap is now £2.5m. The funders are in discussions about phasing the build of the station, so, for example, the number of car parking spaces may be 140 to start, rising to over 300 in the longer term. The Assistant Chief Executive is due to meet with the County Council in the first week of July to discuss these proposals.													HB	Apr 09	TBC
1.7	Agree funding and planning permission for train station redevelopment, with transport links to the town centre																	
1.7.1	Network Rail to agree business case and funding for station.	HB														<p>The Regional Transport Partnership's has submitted its Regional Funding Advice to the Department of Transport. This includes an allocation of £7.5m for the railway station. This appears to close the gap. Formal approval is now required by the Secretary of State and is expected in July 2009. This route now looks more difficult and could take up to a year. Discussions with the County Council indicate that £5m is available from the Secretary of State, but that the other £2.5m will need to be found from other sources. The County Council is currently working with the funding partners, including Network Rail to find alternative funding streams and reduce the cost of the design. It is difficult to put a date on when this will be resolved.</p>		

CP1: Town Centre																	
Ref	May 2009 Action	Colour	Corrective Action												Who	Original Date	Revised Date
1.7.2	Agree historic dimension to new build.		Because the funding of the scheme is still undecided the Council has not started discussions with BRUG on this aspect of the station.												HB	Apr 09	TBC
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Corrective Action		
1.7	Agree funding and planning permission for train station redevelopment, with transport links to the town centre																
1.7.2	Agree historic dimension to new build.	HB														See 1.7.1 above. This will now need to be agreed with Network Rail once we have approval for the scheme.	

CP1: Town Centre																	
Ref	May 2009 Action	Colour	Corrective Action												Who	Original Date	Revised Date
1.7.3	Obtain planning permission.		Currently awaiting response from Network Rail to the planning advice provided by the Council.												HB	Apr 09	TBC
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Corrective Action		
1.7	Agree funding and planning permission for train station redevelopment, with transport links to the town centre																
1.7.3	Obtain planning permission.	HB														See 1.7.1 above. Network Rail require the scheme to be a permitted development. QC advice has been provided to the Council (and onto Network Rail) that this may not be possible. Meeting held with Network	

CP4: Sense of Community																	
Ref	April 2009 Action		Colour		Corrective Action										Who	Original Date	Revised Date
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Corrective Action		
4.3.13	Establish monitoring & meeting arrangements set out in the SLA with the Artrix.				Work in this area is ongoing due to concern raised by the Operating Trust of the Artrix over some of the phrasing in the agreement document. Extended to July.										JG	Jul-08	Jul-09
4.3	Popularity of events programme																
4.3.13	Establish monitoring & meeting arrangements set out in the SLA with the Artrix.	JG													At its February 2009 meeting the Artrix operating trust rejected the SLA proposed by BDC and have proposed a revised SLA. Officers have now completed the review of the documents to identify discrepancy, a meeting as held portfolio holder in May to agree our position in the relation to the proposed changes, the SLA is currently being redrafted and will be forward to the operating trust for comment in the near future. We expect the SLA to be signed off in July (i.e. 2009/10 Improvement Plan), at this point we will be able to commence the required monitoring from quarter 2 onwards.		

FP3: Financial Strategy																	
Ref	April 2009 Action		Colour	Corrective Action											Who	Original Date	Revised Date
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Corrective Action		
8.2.3	Commitment accounting reports available for budget holders			The reports for commitments will be in place for year end and included in future financial budget monitoring reports.											JLP	Sep-08	Jun-09
8.2	Implement the Purchase Ordering Processing System																
8.2.3	Commitment accounting reports available for budget holders	JLP														Due to delays in final implementation the reports for commitments will be in place for year end (April 09) and will be included in 1 st quarter monitoring statements (end June 2009).	

Exception Report for May 2009 Improvement Plan

Appendix 1

PR5: Planning																	
Ref	April 2009 Action		Colour		Corrective Action										Who	Original Date	Revised Date
14.5.1	Maintain training programme every 12 weeks				Recruitment of Principal Planner to this role will enable this area to be addressed.										DH	Dec 08	July 09
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Corrective Action		
14.5	Maintain Greenbelt through enforcement and upheld appeals																
14.5.1	Maintain training programme every 12 weeks	DH														<p>Next training event to cover enforcement. Recruitment of Principal Planner to this role will enable this area to be addressed – Interviews have taken place and appointment made. Start date 6th July 2009.</p> <p>Members training being undertaken in conjunction with Legal services in June/July. Part 1 undertaken with external consultant in May with mock planning committee meeting scheduled as part 2 for June.</p>	